# Reintervention for Failed Endovascular Aneurysm Repair: Open Conversion Is Almost Never Needed

**NOTES** 

Vicente Riambau, MD, PhD, Barcelona, Spain; C. Pontes; J Alvarez; I. Murillo; C. Garcia-Madrid; X. Montana; J. Mulet

### Background

Secondary interventions still remain an important limitation for a widespread use of endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR).1,2

Open conversions have been related to a high mortality rates.3 To avoid any kind of reoperation, especially, open conversions, would be an optimal goal for EVAR therapy.

#### **Purpose**

The purpose of this study was to analyze the prevalence, incidence and types of the secondary interventions without open conversions during 8-year surveillance of the elective EVAR.

#### **Patient and Methods**

We select the first consecutive 300 abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs) repaired electively by means of different brands of endografts. All of them were followed according to the EUROSTAR recommendations. The mean follow-up was  $38 \pm 14$  months (r: 3–94 months). The mean AAA diameter was  $58.8 \pm 10.76$  mm (r: 30–100 mm). Up to 40% of these patients were considered unfit for open repair due to serious comorbidities.

## Results

Thirty secondary interventions have been performed that represents a 10% prevalence and an incidence of 3.2 reinterventions per year. Endoleak was the most common complication that required a reintervention (endoleak type I: 3; endoleak type II: 7; endoleak type III: 7; migrations: 6; AAA rupture: 3; branch thromboses: 3: endotension: 1 case). Most part of reinterventions was related to Vanguard endografts (p < .001). The thrombus presence in the anchorage area and the break of the stents has been significantly related with the reoperation necessity. Cumulative freedom of secondary intervention up to 8 years was 72%. All the reinterventions were approached endovascularly, except for two limb thromboses that were solved with an extra-anatomic bypass. No open conversion was needed. No mortality was related to the reoperations.

## Conclusions

Secondary intervention still limits the widespread use of EVAR. Most secondary procedures are needed beyond the 2-year follow-up. However, no mortality was related to reinterventions over 8-year-follow-up. The presence of thrombus on the neck, stent fractures, and first generations of endografts are risk factors for reintervention. Strict surveillance avoids major complications. A multi-disciplinary and dedicated approach reduces fatal complications. Further technologic improvements are needed to reduce the actual reintervention rates.

#### References

- Blankensteijn JD, de Jong SE, Prinssen M, et al. Dutch Randomized Endovascular Aneurysm Management (DREAM) Trial Group. Two-year outcomes after conventional or endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2398–405.
- EVAR trial participants. Endovascular aneurysm repair versus open repair in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR trial 1): randomised controlled trial. Available at: www.thelancet.com. Published online June 17, 2005 DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66627–5.
- Harris PL, Vallabhaneni SR, Desgranges P, et al, for the EUROSTAR Collaborators. Incidence and risk factors of late rupture, conversion, and death after endovascular repair of infrarenal aortic aneurysms: the EUROSTAR experience. J Vasc Surg 2000;32:739–49.